

Ore extension over α -quasi-Baer and α -p.q.-Baer rings

M.R. Khan, Ph.D. †
Prachi Juyal, Ph. D. ‡

Abstract

In this paper we extend some well known results of quasi-Baer and p.q.-Baer to α -quasi-Baer and α -p.q.-Baer using α -weakly rigid ring. Further, we investigate some results for quasi α -Armendariz ring and also we give some Examples to illustrate our theory.

Introduction

Throughout this paper R denotes an associative ring with identity, α is an endomorphism of R and δ an α -derivation of R , that is δ is an additive map such that $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + \alpha(a)\delta(b)$, for all $a, b \in R$. Kaplansky [11] introduced Baer rings to abstract various prospects of AW^* -Algebra and von-Neumann Algebra. Quasi-Baer rings (i.e. rings in which the right annihilator of every ideal is generated by an idempotent) introduced by Clark [5], are used to characterize when finite dimensional algebra with unity over an algebraically closed field is isomorphic to a twisted matrix units semigroup algebra. The definition of quasi-Baer ring is left-right i.e. a ring R is left (quasi) Baer if and only if R is right (quasi) Baer.

As a generalization of quasi-Baer ring, G.F. Birkenmeier, J.Y. Kim, and J.K. Park [4] introduced the concept of principally quasi-Baer rings. A ring R is called principally quasi-Baer (or right p.q.-Baer) if the right annihilator of a principal right ideal of R is generated by an idempotent. The class of p.q.-Baer ring includes all Baer rings, quasi-Baer rings, abelian p.p. rings and bi-regular rings. Further a number of authors investigated quasi-Baer and p.q.-Baer properties on different structures of a ring.

According to Krempa [12], a monomorphism α of a ring R is called to be rigid if $a\alpha(a) = 0$ implies $a = 0$ for $a \in R$. A ring R is said to be α -rigid if there exists a rigid monomorphism α of R . Nasr-Isfahani et al. [14] generalized α -rigid ring to α -weakly rigid ring and used it to transfer the quasi-Baer property and p.q.-Baer property of an α -weakly rigid ring R to its extensions such as the skew polynomial ring $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$, skew Laurent polynomial ring $R[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]$, skew power series ring $R[[x; \alpha]]$ and skew Laurent power series ring $R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]$.

A subset S of a ring R is called α -set if S is a α -stable set, i.e. $\alpha(S) \subseteq S$. α -Baer ring was defined by Han [6] as a ring in which the right annihilator of every α -set (resp. α -ideal) is generated by an idempotent is called α -Baer ring (resp. α -quasi-Baer ring). Also a ring R is called right (or left) α -p.q.-Baer (resp. right or left p.p.-ring) if the right (or left) annihilator of every right (or left) principal α -ideal (resp. α -element) is generated by an idempotent. R is called α -p.q.-Baer ring (resp. right or left p.p. ring) if it is both right α -p.q.-Baer and left α -p.q.-Baer. In [6] Han defined and analyzed the behavior of skew polynomial ring over the above mentioned properties for α -rigid ring.

In the present article we study the α -quasi-Baerness and α -p.q.-Baerness for an α -weakly rigid ring R and some of its extensions such as skew polynomial ring $R[x; \alpha]$, Ore extension $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$, skew power series ring $R[[x; \alpha]]$ and find some connectedness between an α -weakly rigid ring R and its extensions through some results which are a generalization of the results provided in [6], [14]. Further, we also show the same results for a quasi α -Armendariz ring R .

Ore extension with $\delta = 1$ over α -quasi-Baer and α -p.q.-Baer ring

In this section we extend the results of [6] to α -weakly rigid ring. Further we define the notion of quasi α -Armendariz ring as a generalization of quasi-Armendariz ring. Also we prove the same results for quasi α -Armendariz ring. Recall from [14] a ring R is called α -weakly rigid if for each $a, b \in R, a\alpha(Rb) = 0$ if and only if $aRb = 0$. α -weakly rigid ring is a generalization of α -rigid ring and α -compatible ring. Now we give some examples to show that an α -weakly rigid ring R need not to be α -rigid.

Example 2.1 Let Q be a ring of rational numbers then $M_2(Q)$ is a prime ring. Suppose α be an automorphism of $M_2(Q)$ which is defined as follows:

$$\alpha\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for each $a, b, c, d \in Q$. Since $M_2(Q)$ is a prime ring and α is an automorphism of $M_2(Q)$, so $M_2(Q)$ is α -weakly rigid ring [14, Example

2.14]. Now we check that this ring is α -rigid or not. Take $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(Q)$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \alpha \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

but $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$. Thus $M_2(Q)$ is not α -rigid.

Example 2.2 We consider a ring R as

$$R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & t \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}, t \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$$

where \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Q} are the set of all integers and set of all rational numbers, respectively. Then R is a commutative ring. Let $\alpha: R \rightarrow R$ be an automorphism of R defined by

$$\alpha \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & t \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} a & t/2 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

By [8, Theorem 1], R is not α -rigid ring. Now suppose any arbitrary $\begin{pmatrix} a & p \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} b & q \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} c & r \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \in R$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & t \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \alpha \left(\begin{pmatrix} b & q \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & r \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} abc & \frac{abr + acq}{2} + bcp \\ 0 & abc \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This gives $abc = 0$ and $\frac{abr + acq}{2} + bcp = 0$, which leads to the following:

1. $a = 0$ and $b = 0$
2. $a = 0$ and $c = 0$

3. $b = 0$ and $c = 0$

4. $a = 0 = b = c$

By considering that either of the above cases hold valid and true we find

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & t \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b & q \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & r \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus R is α -weakly rigid ring.

For a ring R with an endomorphism α , there exists an endomorphism of $R[x; \alpha]$ which extends α . For example, consider a map $\bar{\alpha}$ on $R[x; \alpha]$ defined by $\bar{\alpha}(f(x)) = \alpha(a_0) + \alpha(a_1)x + \dots + \alpha(a_n)x^n$ for all $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \in R[x; \alpha]$. Then $\bar{\alpha}$ is an endomorphism of $R[x; \alpha]$ and $\bar{\alpha}(a) = \alpha(a)$ for all $a \in R$, that means $\bar{\alpha}$ is an extension of α . $\bar{\alpha}$ is called the extended endomorphism of α . Here, we shall denote the extended map $\bar{\alpha}: R[x; \alpha] \rightarrow R[x; \alpha]$ by α .

Now, we begin our main results with Theorem 2.2 but first we give Lemma 2.1 which is required to prove the main Theorems.

Lemma 2.1 *Let R be an α -weakly rigid ring and $e \in R$ a right semicentral idempotent of R . Then for positive integer m , $e\alpha^m(r) = e\alpha^m(re)$.*

Proof. Since e be a right semicentral idempotent of R so $eR = eRe$ which implies $er(1-e) = 0$ for all $e \in R$. It follows that $e\alpha^m(r(1-e)) = 0$ since R be an α -weakly rigid ring. Thus $e\alpha^m(r) = e\alpha^m(re)$.

Theorem 2.2 *Let R be an α -weakly rigid ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. R is an α -quasi-Baer ring;
2. $R[x; \alpha]$ is a quasi-Baer ring;
3. $R[x; \alpha]$ is an α -quasi-Baer ring for every extended α -automorphism of $R[x; \alpha]$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose R is α -quasi-Baer and I be an arbitrary ideal of $R[x; \alpha]$. Consider the set I_0 of all the leading coefficients of elements

in I i.e. $I_0 = \{a_n \in R \mid f(x) = a_n x^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i \in I\}$. Then I_0 is an ideal of R . Note that I_0 is an α -ideal of R , since for $f(x) = a_n x^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i \in I$ and $g(x) = x \in R$, we have $g(x)f(x) = \alpha(a_n)x^{n+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha(a_i)x^{i+1} \in I$ and so $\alpha(a_n) \in I_0$. Thus I_0 is an α -ideal of R . Since R is α -quasi-Baer, $l_R(I_0) = Re$ for some right semicentral idempotent $e \in R$ which gives $ea_n = 0$ for all $a_n \in I_0$. Now we show $R[x; \alpha]e = l_{R[x; \alpha]}(I)$. For any $f(x) = a_n x^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i \in I$ we have $a_n \in I_0$, so $ea_n = 0$. Therefore $ef(x) = e(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i) = ea_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} a_i x^i$. Since $ea_{n-1} \in I_0$, we get $ea_{n-1} = eea_{n-1} = 0$. Continuing this way we get $ef(x) = 0$ and so $R[x; \alpha]e \subseteq l_{R[x; \alpha]}(I)$. Suppose $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j x^j \in l_{R[x; \alpha]}(I)$, so for each $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \in I$ and $r \in R$, $g(x)rf(x) = 0$. Therefore $b_m \alpha^m(ra_n) = 0$ for each $r \in R$ which implies that $b_m Ra_n = 0$ since R is α -weakly rigid ring, so $b_m = b_m e$. Now $g(x)rf(x) = b_m x^m rf(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} b_j x^j rf(x) = 0$. It follows that $\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} b_j x^j rf(x) = 0$ since $b_m x^m rf(x) = b_m e x^m rf(x) = b_m e \alpha^m(e) x^m rf(x) = b_m e x^m erf(x) = 0$ by Lemma 2.1. In the same way we find that $b_{m-1} = b_{m-1} e$. Continuing this way we get for each j $b_j = b_j e$, so $g = ge$ which gives $l_{R[x; \alpha]}(I) \subseteq R[x; \alpha]e$. Hence $R[x; \alpha]$ is quasi-Baer.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) It is clear.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose $R[x; \alpha]$ is α -quasi-Baer and α -weakly rigid. Let I be any α -ideal of R . Then by [6, Lemma 1.7] $R[x; \alpha]I$ is an α -ideal of $R[x; \alpha]$. Since $R[x; \alpha]$ is α -quasi-Baer, $l_{R[x; \alpha]}(R[x; \alpha]I) = R[x; \alpha]e$ for some idempotent $e(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \in R[x; \alpha]$. Thus by [14, Lemma 3.5] $l_{R[x; \alpha]}(R[x; \alpha]I) = l_R(I)[x; \alpha] = R[x; \alpha]e(x)$ which implies that $e_i \in l_R(I)$ for all i . Again by [14, Lemma 3.5]

$l_R(I) = l_R(I)[x; \alpha] \cap R = R[x; \alpha]e(x) \cap R$ it follows that for any $a \in l_R(I)$, $a = ae_0$. Hence, R is α -quasi-Baer.

Corollary 2.3 ([Theorem 2.3]6) *Let R be a ring with an endomorphism α and let Λ_α be the set of all extended endomorphisms on $R[x; \alpha]$ of α . If R is α -rigid, then the following are equivalent:*

1. R is α -quasi-Baer;
2. $R[x; \alpha]$ is quasi-Baer;
3. $R[x; \alpha]$ is $\bar{\alpha}$ -quasi-Baer for all $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_\alpha$.

Theorem 2.4 *Let R be an α -weakly rigid ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. R is left α -p.q.-Baer;
2. $R[x; \alpha]$ is a left p.q.-Baer ring;
3. $R[x; \alpha]$ is a left α -p.q.-Baer ring for every extended α -automorphism of $R[x; \alpha]$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let R be α -weakly rigid left α -p.q.-Baer ring and I be a left principal ideal of $R[x; \alpha]$ which is generated by $h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n h_i x^i \in R[x; \alpha]$ i.e. $I = \{f(x)h(x) \mid f(x) \in R[x; \alpha]\}$. Note that I_0 is a left ideal of R which is generated by h_0, h_1, \dots, h_n i.e. $I_0 = \{rh_i \mid r \in R\}$. Take $g(x) = x$,

$$g(x)Rh(x) = xRh(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha(Rh_i)x^{i+1} \text{ and so } \alpha(Rh_i) \in I_0 \text{ for each } i.$$

Thus I_0 is an left principal α -ideal of R . Since R is α -p.q.-Baer, $l_R(Rh_i) = Re_i$ where e_i be right semicentral idempotents of R therefore $e_i Rh_i = 0$ for all i . Let $e = e_0 e_1 \dots e_n$ which implies e is also a right semicentral idempotent of R . Thus by [14 ,Corollary 3.3] e is a right semicentral idempotent of $R[x; \alpha]$. We show that

$$l_{R[x; \alpha]}(R[x; \alpha]h(x)) = R[x; \alpha]e. \quad \text{For } h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n h_i x^i \in R[x; \alpha],$$

$$eh(x) = 0 \text{ which implies } ef(x)h(x) = ef(x)eh(x) = 0 \text{ for any } f(x) \in R[x; \alpha].$$

Therefore $R[x; \alpha]e \subseteq l_{R[x; \alpha]}(R[x; \alpha]h(x))$. Again

$$\text{suppose any } f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m a_j x^j \in l_{R[x; \alpha]}(R[x; \alpha]h(x)). \quad \text{Then}$$

$f(x)R[x; \alpha]h(x) = 0$ which implies $f(x)Rh(x) = 0$ it follows that $a_jrh_i = 0$ for all $r \in R$ from [14, Theorem 3.9]. Thus $a_j \in l_R(Rh_i) = Re_i$ which gives $a_j = a_je$ so $f = fe$ and therefore $l_{R[x; \alpha]}(R[x; \alpha]h(x)) = R[x; \alpha]e$. Hence $R[x; \alpha]$ is left p.q.-Baer.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) It is straightforward.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Similar to Theorem 2.2

The concept of α -skew Armendariz ring has been introduced in [8] which is a generalization of α -rigid ring and α -Armendariz ring. A ring R is said to be α -skew Armendariz ring, if for $p = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i$ and $q = \sum_{j=0}^n b_j x^j$ in $R[x; \alpha]$ the condition $pq = 0$ implies $a_i \alpha^i(b_j) = 0$ for all i and j .

The Armendariz property of rings was extended to skew polynomial rings in [10]. Following Hong et al [10], a ring R is called α -Armendariz if for $p = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i$ and $q = \sum_{j=0}^n b_j x^j$ in $R[x; \alpha]$ the condition $pq = 0$ implies $a_i b_j = 0$ for all i and j . α -Armendariz ring is a generalization of α -rigid ring and Armendariz ring. Hong et al [10] proved that an α -Armendariz ring is α -skew Armendariz.

In [3] Baser and Kwak introduced the concept of α -quasi Armendariz ring. A ring R is called quasi-Armendariz ring with the endomorphism α (or simply α -quasi Armendariz) if for $p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_mx^m$, $q(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_nx^n$ in $R[x; \alpha]$ satisfy $p(x)R[x; \alpha]q(x) = 0$, implies $a_i R[x; \alpha]b_j = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq m$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$ or equivalently, $a_i R \alpha^t(b_j) = 0$ for any nonnegative integer t and all i, j . Baser and Kwak [3] also showed that every α -quasi Armendariz ring is α -skew quasi Armendariz in case that α is an epimorphism, but the converse does not hold, in general. Motivated by [3], Pourtaherian and Rakhimov [15] introduced quasi α -Armendariz ring which is a generalization of quasi-Armendariz ring. A ring R is called a quasi α -Armendariz (or simply q. α -Armendariz) ring if whenever $p = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i$ and $q = \sum_{j=0}^n b_j x^j$ in $R[x; \alpha]$ satisfy $pR[x; \alpha]q = 0$, we have $a_i R b_j = 0$ for all i and j . It is easy to see that an α -rigid ring is quasi α -Armendariz.

Here we refer to an Example from [15] that describes about a quasi α -Armendariz ring which is not α -rigid.

Given a ring R and a bimodule ${}_R M_R$. The trivial extension of R by M is the ring $T(R, M) = R \oplus M$ with the usual addition and multiplication defined as follows:

$$(r_1, m_1)(r_2, m_2) = (r_1 r_2, r_1 m_2 + m_1 r_2).$$

Example 2.3 (15, Example 3) Let $R = T(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q})$ be the trivial extension of \mathbb{Z} by \mathbb{Q} , with automorphism $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$ defined by $\alpha((a, s)) = (a, s/2)$. The ring R is quasi α -Armendariz but is not α -rigid.

Now we show that a quasi Armendariz ring need not be an α -weakly rigid ring through the following example.

Example 2.4 Let $R = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \mid a \equiv b \pmod{2}\}$ be a ring which is commutative reduced ring [9, Example 9], so R is a semiprime ring. Thus R is quasi Armendariz but not α -rigid. Now we check that R is an α -weakly rigid ring or not. Define $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$, such that $\alpha((a, b)) = (b, a)$ an automorphism of R . Let $(0, 2), (2, 0) \in R$, then

$$(0, 2)\alpha((2, 0)(2, 0)) = (0, 2)\alpha(4, 0) = 0,$$

while

$$(0, 2)(2, 0)(2, 0) = (0, 8) \neq 0,$$

Thus it is clear that R is not α -weakly rigid ring.

To prove the results for a quasi α -Armendariz ring we need to construct Lemma which is given as follows

Lemma 2.5 Let R be a quasi α -Armendariz ring, then the following conditions hold:

1. If $arb = 0$ then $\alpha^n(a)rb = 0$.
2. If $a\alpha^m(rb) = 0$ then $arb = 0$.

where $a, r, b \in R$ and m, n be some positive integers.

Proof. (1) Suppose $arb = 0$ and $f(x) = \alpha(a)x, g(x) = bx \in R[x; \alpha]$. Then $f(x)rg(x) = (\alpha(a)x)r(bx) = \alpha(a)\alpha(rb)x^2 = \alpha(arb)x^2 = 0$ which implies $\alpha(a)rb = 0$ or $\alpha^n(a)rb = 0$, since R is quasi α -Armendariz.

(2) Consider $a\alpha^m(rb) = 0$ and $f(x) = ax^m, g(x) = bx \in R[x; \alpha]$ then $f(x)rg(x) = a\alpha^m(rb)x^{m+1} = 0$. Thus $arb = 0$ since R is quasi α -Armendariz.

Theorem 2.6 Let R be a quasi α -Armendariz ring. If R is α -quasi-Baer ring then $R[x; \alpha]$ is a quasi-Baer ring.

Proof. Let R be a quasi α -Armendariz and α -quasi-Baer ring, and I be any arbitrary ideal of $R[x; \alpha]$. Consider I_0 be the set of all the coefficients of I . Observe that I_0 is an α -ideal of R since for $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \in I$ and $g(x) = x \in R$, we have $g(x)f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha(a_i)x^{i+1} \in I$ and so $\alpha(a_i) \in I_0$ for each i . Thus I_0 is an α -ideal of R , which gives $l_R(I_0) = Re$ for some right semicentral idempotent $e \in R$ i.e. $ea_i = 0$ for any $a_i \in I_0$. Now we show that $l_{R[x; \alpha]}(I) = R[x; \alpha]e$. Suppose $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \in I$, so $ef(x) = e(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i) = \sum_{i=0}^n (ea_i)x^i = 0$ so $R[x; \alpha]e \subseteq l_{R[x; \alpha]}(I)$. Again suppose $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j x^j \in l_{R[x; \alpha]}(I)$ which implies $g(x)rf(x) = 0$, it follows that $b_j r a_i = 0$ since R is quasi α -Armendariz. Then $b_j \in l_R(a_i) = Re$ which gives $b_j = b_j e$. Thus $g = ge$ and therefore $l_{R[x; \alpha]}(I) \subseteq R[x; \alpha]e$. Hence $R[x; \alpha]$ is a quasi-Baer ring.

Theorem 2.7 Let R be a quasi α -Armendariz ring. If R is left α -p.q.-Baer ring then $R[x; \alpha]$ is a left p.q.-Baer ring.

Proof. Let R be quasi α -Armendariz left α -p.q.-Baer ring and I be a left principal ideal of $R[x; \alpha]$ which is generated by $h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n h_i x^i \in R[x; \alpha]$ i.e. $I = \{f(x)h(x) \mid f(x) \in R[x; \alpha]\}$. Note that I_0 is a left ideal of R which is generated by h_0, h_1, \dots, h_n i.e. $I_0 = \{rh_i \mid r \in R\}$. Take $g(x) = x$, $g(x)h(x) = xh(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha(h_i)x^{i+1}$ and so $\alpha(h_i) \in I_0$ for each i . Thus I_0 is an left principal α -ideal of R .

Since R is α -p.q.-Baer so $l_R(Rh_i) = Re_i$ where e_i be right semicentral idempotents of R . Let $e = e_0e_1 \dots e_n$ which implies e is also a right semicentral idempotent of R . We show that $l_{R[x;\alpha]}(I) = R[x;\alpha]e$. For any

$$h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n h_i x^i \in R[x;\alpha]$$

$$erh = e(\sum_{i=0}^n (rh_i)x^i) = \sum_{i=0}^n (e = e_0e_1 \dots e_n)(rh_i)x^i \quad \text{which implies}$$

$erh = 0$. Thus $R[x;\alpha]e \subseteq l_{R[x;\alpha]}(R[x;\alpha]h(x))$. Again suppose any

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m a_j x^j \in l_{R[x;\alpha]}(R[x;\alpha]h(x)). \quad \text{Then } f(x)R[x;\alpha]h(x) = 0$$

which implies $f(x)Rh(x) = 0$ it follows that $a_j rh_i = 0$ for all $r \in R$. Thus

$$a_j \in l_R(Rh_i) = Re_i \quad \text{which gives } a_j = a_j e \quad \text{so } f = fe \quad \text{and therefore}$$

$$l_{R[x;\alpha]}(R[x;\alpha]h(x)) = R[x;\alpha]e. \quad \text{Hence } R[x;\alpha] \text{ is left p.q.-Baer.}$$

Ore extension over α -quasi-Baer and α -p.q.-Baer ring

This section discusses about Ore extensions of α -quasi-Baer and α -p.q.-Baer rings. In [9] Hong et al. have shown that if R is an α -rigid ring, then R is Baer if and only if $R[x;\alpha, \delta]$ is a Baer ring. Nasr-Isfahani et al. [14] extended this result for α -weakly rigid ring to quasi-Baer and p.q.-Baer ring. Here we generalize these results to α -quasi-Baer and α -p.q.-Baer ring.

Recall from [13] an ideal I of a ring R with an automorphism α and an α -derivation δ is called an (α, δ) -ideal of R if $\alpha(I) = I$ and $\delta(I) \subseteq I$. A ring R with an automorphism α and an α -derivation δ is called an (α, δ) -quasi-Baer if the left annihilator of every (α, δ) -ideal is generated by an idempotent of R .

To prove the main results of this section we need the following Lemma which is an extension of [6, Lemma 1.1].

Lemma 3.1 *Let R be a ring with an automorphism α and an α -derivation δ . Then*

1. If I is a right (α, δ) -ideal of R , then RI is a right (α, δ) -ideal of R ;
2. If I is a left (α, δ) -ideal of R , then IR is a left (α, δ) -ideal of R .

Proof. It follows from [6, Lemma 1.1].

Lemma 3.2 Let R be a ring, α be an automorphism and δ an α -derivation of R with $\alpha\delta = \delta\alpha$. Then the following conditions hold:

1. If I be an (α, δ) -ideal of R then $IR[x; \alpha, \delta]$ be an (α, δ) -ideal of $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$;
2. If I be a right principal (α, δ) -ideal of R then $IR[x; \alpha, \delta]$ be a right principal (α, δ) -ideal of $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$;
3. If I be a left principal (α, δ) -ideal of R then $R[x; \alpha, \delta]I$ be a left principal (α, δ) -ideal of $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$.

For a ring R with an automorphism α and α -derivation δ with $\alpha\delta = \delta\alpha$, there exists an α -derivation on $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ which extends δ . For example, consider the automorphism $\bar{\alpha}$ and the $\bar{\alpha}$ -derivation $\bar{\delta}$ on $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ defined by

$$\bar{\alpha}(f(x)) = \alpha(a_0) + \alpha(a_1)x + \dots + \alpha(a_n)x^n$$

$$\bar{\delta}(f(x)) = \delta(a_0) + \delta(a_1)x + \dots + \delta(a_n)x^n$$

for all $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \in R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ and

$\bar{\alpha}(r) = \alpha(r), \bar{\delta}(r) = \delta(r)$ for all $r \in R$. We shall denote the extended map

$\bar{\alpha}: R[x; \alpha, \delta] \rightarrow R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ and $\bar{\delta}: R[x; \alpha, \delta] \rightarrow R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ by $\bar{\alpha}$, and

the image of $f \in R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ by $\bar{\alpha}(f), \bar{\delta}(f)$, respectively.

Theorem 3.3 Let R be an (α, δ) -weakly rigid ring, α be an automorphism and δ an α -derivation of R with $\alpha\delta = \delta\alpha$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. R is an (α, δ) -quasi-Baer ring;
2. $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ is an α -quasi-Baer ring;
3. $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ is an (α, δ) -quasi-Baer ring for every extended α -derivation $\bar{\delta}$ of $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let R be an α -weakly rigid and (α, δ) -quasi-Baer ring, and I be any α -ideal of $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$. Suppose that I_0 be an α -ideal of R which is a set of all the leading coefficients of polynomials in I i.e. $I_0 = \{a_n \in R \mid f(x) = a_nx^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_ix^i \in I\}$. Now first we show that I_0 is a (α, δ) -ideal of R . Take any $g(x) = x \in R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ and

$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \in I$,
 $g(x)f(x) = xf(x) = \alpha(a_n)x^{n+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha(a_i)x^{i+1} + \delta(a_n)x^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta(a_i)x^i \in I$
 . which gives $\delta(a_n) \in I_0$. Therefore I_0 is an (α, δ) -ideal of R . Since R is an (α, δ) -quasi-Baer ring so $l_R(I_0) = Re$ for any right semicentral idempotent $e \in R$ which implies $eI_0 = 0$. For $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \in I$, $ef(x) = e \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i = 0$. Thus $R[x; \alpha, \delta]e \subseteq l_{R[x; \alpha, \delta]}(I)$. Again suppose $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j x^j \in l_{R[x; \alpha, \delta]}(I)$ then $g(x)rf(x) = 0$ which implies $b_j r a_i = 0$ since R is α -weakly rigid (from the proof of Theorem 2.2). Then $b_j \in l_R(a_i) = Re$, so $b_j = b_j e$ and thus $g = ge$. Therefore $l_{R[x; \alpha, \delta]}(I) \subseteq R[x; \alpha, \delta]e$. Hence $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ is α -quasi-Baer.
 (2) \Rightarrow (3) It is straightforward.
 (3) \Rightarrow (1) Similar to Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 3.4 ([theorem 3.4]14) *Let R be an α -weakly rigid ring. If R is a quasi-Baer ring then $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ is a quasi-Baer ring.*

Corollary 3.5 ([theorem 3.6]14) *Let R be an α -weakly rigid ring. If $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ is a quasi-Baer ring then R is a quasi-Baer ring.*

Now we focus on extending the quasi α -Armendariz property of a skew polynomial rings, as described in section 2, to Ore extension.

Definition 3.6 *A ring R is called a quasi α -Armendariz ring if whenever $p = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i$ and $q = \sum_{j=0}^n b_j x^j$ in $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ satisfy $pR[x; \alpha, \delta]q = 0$, we have $a_i R b_j = 0$ for all i and j .*

Here, we introduce the concept of (α, δ) -p.q. Baer ring which is a generalization of α -quasi-Baer, (α, δ) -quasi-Baer and α -p.q. Baer by the following definition:

Definition 3.7 *A ring R with an automorphism α and an α -derivation δ is called an (α, δ) -p.q.-Baer if the left annihilator of every left principal (α, δ) -ideal is generated by an idempotent of R .*

Theorem 3.8 Let R be an (α, δ) -weakly rigid ring, α be an automorphism and δ an α -derivation of R with $\alpha\delta = \delta\alpha$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. R is an (α, δ) -p.q.-Baer ring;
2. $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ is an α -p.q.-Baer ring;
3. $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ is an (α, δ) -p.q.-Baer ring for every extended α -derivation δ of $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let R be an α -weakly rigid (α, δ) -quasi-Baer ring and I be a left principal α -ideal of $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ which is generated by $h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n h_i x^i \in R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ i.e. $I = \{f(x)h(x) \mid f(x) \in R[x; \alpha, \delta]\}$. Note that I_0 is a left ideal of R which is generated by h_0, h_1, \dots, h_n i.e. $I_0 = \{rh_i \mid r \in R\}$.

Take $g(x) = x$,

$$g(x)rh(x) = xrh(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha(rh_i)x^{i+1} + \sum_{i=0}^n \delta(ra_i)x^i \in I \quad \text{and} \quad \text{so}$$

$\delta(rh_i) \in I_0$ for each i . Thus I_0 is an left principal (α, δ) -ideal of R . The proof of the remaining part is similar to Theorem 2.3.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) It is straightforward.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Similar to Theorem 2.3

Corollary 3.9 ([Theorem 3.9]14) Let R be an α -weakly rigid ring. If R is a left p.q.-Baer ring then $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ is a left p.q.-Baer ring.

Corollary 3.10 ([Theorem 3.11]14) Let R be an α -weakly rigid ring. If $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ is a left p.q.-Baer ring then R is a left p.q.-Baer ring.

Here, we show main results of this section using quasi (α, δ) -Armendariz ring in place of (α, δ) -weakly rigid ring. First, we define quasi (α, δ) -Armendariz ring which is an extension of quasi α -Armendariz ring.

Definition 3.11 A ring R is called a quasi (α, δ) -Armendariz ring if

whenever $p = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i$ and $q = \sum_{j=0}^n b_j x^j$ in $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ satisfy

$$pR[x; \alpha, \delta]q = 0, \text{ we have } a_i R b_j = 0 \text{ for all } i \text{ and } j.$$

Theorem 3.12 Let R be a quasi (α, δ) -Armendariz and (α, δ) -quasi-Baer ring then $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ is a α -quasi-Baer ring.

Proof. Let R be a quasi (α, δ) -Armendariz and (α, δ) -quasi-Baer ring, and I be any α -ideal of $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$. Suppose that I_0 be an α -ideal of R which is a collection of all the coefficients of elements of I . Now first we show that I_0 is a (α, δ) -ideal of R . Take any $g(x) = x \in R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ and $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \in I$,

$$g(x)f(x) = xf(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha(a_i)x^{i+1} + \sum_{i=0}^n \delta(a_i)x^i \in I.$$

Thus $\sum_{i=0}^n \delta(a_i)x^i \in I$ since I is an α -ideal of $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ so $\delta(a_i) \in I_0$. Therefore I_0 is an (α, δ) -ideal of R . Since R is an (α, δ) -quasi-Baer ring so $l_R(I_0) = Re$ for any right semicentral idempotent $e \in R$ which implies $eI_0 = 0$. Now to show $l_{R[x; \alpha, \delta]}(I) = R[x; \alpha, \delta]e$. Suppose $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \in I$ so $ef = e \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i = 0$. Thus $R[x; \alpha, \delta]e \subseteq l_{R[x; \alpha, \delta]}(I)$. Again suppose $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j x^j \in l_{R[x; \alpha, \delta]}(I)$ then $g(x)rf(x) = 0$ which implies $b_j r a_i = 0$ since R is quasi (α, δ) -Armendariz. Then $b_j \in l_R(a_i) = Re$ so $b_j = b_j e$ and thus $g = ge$. Therefore $l_{R[x; \alpha, \delta]}(I) \subseteq R[x; \alpha, \delta]e$. Hence the result follows.

Theorem 3.13 Let R be a quasi (α, δ) -Armendariz and left (α, δ) -p.q.-Baer ring then $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ is a left α -p.q.-Baer.

Proof. Suppose R is a quasi (α, δ) -Armendariz and left (α, δ) -p.q.-Baer ring, and I be any left α -ideal of $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ which is generated by $h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n h_i x^i \in R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ i.e. $I = \{f(x)h(x) \mid f(x) \in R[x; \alpha, \delta]\}$. Let I_0 be the set of all coefficients of elements of I . Then I_0 be a left α -ideal of R which is generated by h_0, h_1, \dots, h_n . Note that I_0 is a left (α, δ) -ideal of R by Theorem 2.6. Since R is a left (α, δ) -p.q.-Baer ring, $l_R(Rh_i) = Re_i$ where e_i be semicentral idempotents of R which implies

$e_i R h_i = 0$. Let $e = e_0 e_1 \dots e_n$ which implies e is also a semicentral idempotent of R . Now consider any $h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n h_i x^i \in I$ so $erh(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n er(h_i x^i) = \sum_{i=0}^n (e_0 e_1 \dots e_n) r(h_i x^i) = 0$, since e_i is a semicentral idempotent of R . Therefore $R[x; \alpha, \delta]e \subseteq l_{R[x; \alpha, \delta]}(R[x; \alpha, \delta]h(x))$. Again suppose $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j x^j \in l_{R[x; \alpha, \delta]}(R[x; \alpha, \delta]h(x))$. Then $g(x)R[x; \alpha, \delta]h(x) = 0$ which implies that $g(x)Rh(x) = 0$. It follows that $b_j r h_i = 0$ since R is quasi α -Armendariz. Thus $b_j \in l_R(Rh_i) = Re_i$ which gives $b_j = b_j e_0 e_1 \dots e_n$ and therefore $g = ge$ implies $l_{R[x; \alpha, \delta]}(R[x; \alpha, \delta]h(x)) \subseteq R[x; \alpha, \delta]e$. Hence the result follows.

Skew power series over α -quasi-Baer ring

In this section we consider the relationship between the properties of being α -quasi-Baer of a ring R and of the skew power series ring $R[[x; \alpha]]$. Further we introduce the concept of quasi α -Armendariz of power series type which is an extension of quasi α -Armendariz ring and also an extension of skew α -Armendariz property of a ring R defined in [15].

Theorem 4.1 *Let R be an α -weakly rigid ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. R is an α -quasi-Baer ring;
2. $R[[x; \alpha]]$ is a quasi-Baer ring;
3. $R[[x; \alpha]]$ is a α -quasi-Baer ring for every extended α -automorphism of $R[[x; \alpha]]$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose R is α -quasi-Baer and I be an arbitrary ideal of $R[[x; \alpha]]$. Let I_0 be the set of leading coefficients of elements in I i.e. $I_0 = \{a_n \in R \mid \text{there exists } a_n x^n + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} a_i x^i \in I, \text{ for some non-negative integer } n \text{ and } a_i \in R\}$. Then I_0 is an ideal of R . Note that I_0 is an α -ideal of R since for $f(x) = a_n x^n + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} a_i x^i \in I$ and $g(x) = x \in R$, we have $g(x)f(x) = \alpha(a_n) x^n + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \alpha(a_i) x^i \in I$ and so $\alpha(a_i) \in I_0$ for each i . Thus I_0 is an α -ideal of R , which gives $l_R(I_0) = Re$ for some idempotent

$e \in R$. For any $f(x) = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} a_i x^i \in I$ we have $a_n \in I_0$, so $ea_n = 0$. Therefore $ef(x) = e(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i) = ea_n x + e(\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} a_i x^i)$. Since $ea_n \in I_0$, we get $ea_n = eea_n = 0$. Continuing in this way we get $ef(x) = 0$ and so $R[[x; \alpha]]e \subseteq l_{R[[x; \alpha]]}(I)$. Proof of remaining part of this Theorem is similar to Theorem 2.2 Hence $R[[x; \alpha]]$ is quasi-Baer.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) It is clear.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Similar to Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 4.2 ([Theorem 3.28]14) *Let R be an α -weakly rigid ring. If R is a quasi-Baer ring then $R[[x; \alpha]]$ is a quasi-Baer ring.*

Motivated by Pourtaherian and Rakhimov [15], we define quasi α -Armendariz ring of power series type as follows:

Definition 4.3 *Let R be a ring and α be an endomorphism of R . Then R is called a quasi α -Armendariz ring of power series type if for $p = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i, q = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j x^j \in R[[x; \alpha]], pR[x; \alpha]q = 0$ implies $a_i R b_j = 0$ for all i and j .*

Theorem 4.4 *Let R be a quasi α -Armendariz of power series type. If R is α -quasi-Baer ring then $R[[x; \alpha]]$ is a quasi-Baer ring.*

Proof. Let R be a quasi α -Armendariz of power series type and α -quasi-Baer ring, and let I be any arbitrary ideal of $R[[x; \alpha]]$. Consider I_0 be the set of all the coefficients of elements of I . Observe that I_0 is an α -ideal of R since for $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i \in I$ and $g(x) = x \in R$, we have $g(x)f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha(a_i) x^{i+1} \in I$ and so $\alpha(a_i) \in I_0$ for each i . Thus I_0 is an α -ideal of R , which gives $l_R(I_0) = Re$ for some right semicentral idempotent $e \in R$ i.e. $ea_n = 0$ for any $a_n \in I_0$. Now we show that $l_{R[[x; \alpha]]}(I) = R[[x; \alpha]]e$. Suppose $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i \in I$, so $ef(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (ea_i) x^i = 0$ so $R[[x; \alpha]]e \subseteq l_{R[[x; \alpha]]}(I)$. Again suppose $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j x^j \in l_{R[[x; \alpha]]}(I)$ which implies $g(x)rf(x) = 0$, it follows

that $b_j r a_i = 0$ since R is quasi α -Armendariz of power series type. Then $b_j \in l_R(a_i) = Re$ which gives $b_j = b_j e$. Thus $g = ge$ and therefore $l_{R[[x;\alpha]]}(I) \subseteq R[[x;\alpha]]e$. Hence the result follows.

† *M.R Khan*, Ph.D., Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi, India.

‡ *Prachi Juyal*, Ph.D., Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi, India.

Journal Of

References

- [1] Armendariz, E.P.: A note on extensions of Baer and p.p.-rings, *Aust. Math. Soc.* 18(1974), 470-473.
- [2] Baser, M.; Harmanci, A. and Kwak, T.K.: Generalized semicommutative rings and their extensions, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 45 (2008), No. 2, 285-297.
- [3] Baser, M. and Kwak, T.K.: Quasi-Armendariz property for skew polynomial rings, *Comm. Korean Math. Soc.*, 26 (4) (2011), 557-573.
- [4] Birkenmeier, G.F.; Kim, J.Y. and Park J.K.: Principally quasi-Baer rings, *Comm. Algebra*, 29 (2001), 639-660.
- [5] Clark, W.E.: Twisted matrix units semigroup algebras, *Duke Math. J.* 34 (1967), 417-424.
- [6] Han, J.: Skew polynomial rings over σ -quasi-Baer and σ -principally quasi-Baer rings, *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, 42 (2005), No. 1, 53-63.
- [7] Hirano Y.: On annihilator ideals of a polynomial ring over a noncommutative ring, *J. Pure and App. Algebra*, 168 (2002) 45-52.
- [8] Hong, C.Y.; Kim, N.K. and Kwak, T.K.: On skew Armendariz rings, *Comm. Algebra*, 31 (1) (2003), 103-122.
- [9] Hong, C.Y.; Kim, N.K. and Kwak, T.K.: Ore extensions of Baer and p.p.-rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 151 (2000), 215-226.
- [10] Hong, C.Y.; Kwak, T.K. and Rizvi S.T.: Extensions of generalized Armendariz rings *Algebra Colloq.*, 13 (2) (2006), 253-266.
- [11] Kaplansky, I.: *Rings of operators*, W.A. Benjamin, New York, 1968.

[12] Krempa, J.: Some examples of reduced rings, *Algebra Colloq.*, 3 (4) (1996), 289-300.

[13] Nasr-Isfahani, A.R. and Moussavi, A.: Ore extension of skew Armendariz rings, *Comm. Algebra*, 36:2, 508-522.

[14] Nasr-Isfahani, A.R. and Moussavi, A.: On weakly rigid rings, *Glasgow. Math. J.* 51 (2009) 425-440.

[15] Pourtaherian, H. and Rakhimov, I.S.: On extended quasi-Armendariz rings, arXiv:1205.5845v1 [math.RA] 26 May 2012.

**Mathematical
Sciences
&
Mathematics
Education**