

A Minkowski-like Inequality over \mathbb{R}^n

Karen S. Adams, Ed.D.†

Abstract

The discrete case of the Minkowski inequality for $p=2$ is a well-known triangle inequality over the set of complex. This paper presents a new Minkowski-like inequality over the set of reals.

Introduction

The triangle inequality states that given any triangle with sides of length, a , b , and c , then $c < a + b$. Equivalently, for complex numbers z_1 and z_2 we write $|z_1 + z_2| \leq |z_1| + |z_2|$, where $|z|$ represents the norm of the vector. If we define

$$|a| = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

to be the norm of vector $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ where \mathbb{C}^n is the usual n dimension vector space over the reals, we have a triangle inequality in \mathbb{C}^n . The discrete case of Minkowski's Inequality for $p=2$ is such a triangle inequality. Here is a popular proof.

Theorem. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n and b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n be complex numbers, then

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^n |a_j + b_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |b_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof [1, p.25]. Let

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|^2, \quad B = \sum_{j=1}^n |b_j|^2, \quad \text{and} \quad C = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \bar{b}_j.$$

If $B = 0$, then $b_k = 0 \forall k$ and the conclusion is trivial. If $B > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_j + b_j|^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^n (a_j + b_j)(\overline{a_j + b_j}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \bar{a}_j + \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \bar{b}_j + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \bar{a}_j + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \bar{b}_j \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= A + C + \bar{C} + B \\
&= A + 2\operatorname{Re}(C) + B \\
&\leq A + 2|C| + B \\
&\leq A + 2\sqrt{A}\sqrt{B} + B \\
&= (\sqrt{A} + \sqrt{B})^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The second inequality is Cauchy's inequality (or CBS-Inequality).

A Minkowski-like Inequality over \mathbb{R}^n

If we define

$$|a| = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^q \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p = 2, 3, \dots, q \in \mathbb{N}, p > q$$

for vector $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, a_k \geq 0$, then we have a Minkowski-like inequality over \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n and b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n be real values where $a_k, b_k \geq 0 \forall k$, then for $p = 2, 3, \dots, q \in \mathbb{N}, p > q$,

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (a_j + b_j)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^q \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^q \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Proof.

If $a_k + b_k = 0 \forall k$, then the conclusion is trivial. Using induction set $p = 2$ and we have,

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (a_j + b_j)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^q \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^q \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This is a case of the Minkowski's Inequality over the set of reals. Now, assume

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (a_j + b_j)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^q \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^q \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{ for } p > 2 \text{ then}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (a_j + b_j)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \\
&= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (a_j + b_j)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (a_j + b_j)^q \right)^{\frac{-1}{p(p+1)}} \\
&\leq \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^q \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^q \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right] \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (a_j + b_j)^q \right)^{\frac{-1}{p(p+1)}} \\
&= \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^q)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(\sum_{j=1}^n (a_j + b_j)^q)^{\frac{1}{p(p+1)}}} + \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^q)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(\sum_{j=1}^n (a_j + b_j)^q)^{\frac{1}{p(p+1)}}} \\
&\leq \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^q)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^q)^{\frac{1}{p(p+1)}}} + \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^q)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^q)^{\frac{1}{p(p+1)}}} \\
&= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^q \right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^q \right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} .
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the inequality,

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (a_j + b_j)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^q \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^q \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

is true for $p = 2, 3, \dots, q \in \mathbb{N}, p > q$.

† Karen S. Adams, Ed.D., Shepherd University, Shepherdstown, WV. USA

Reference.

1. K. R. Stromberg, *An Introduction to Classical Real Analysis*, Wadsworth, Inc., 1981.