Polygons on sides of octagons

Rogério César dos Santos, Ph.D. †

Abstract

Van Aubel's theorem has some interesting generalizations. Some were dealt with by Krishna (2018a and 2018b). In this article, we intend, encouraged by the works cited, to prove a new generalization of Van Aubel's theorem, which consists of the construction of a parallelogram from an octagon surrounded by regular n-sided polygons.

The present article will demonstrate the following result about octagons, driven by the results of Krishna (2018*a*) and Krishna (2018*b*): Theorem: Take a random octagon $0: A_1A_2 \dots A_9$, either convex or concave. Having fixed an integer $n \ge 3$, consider the eight *regular* polygons of *n* sides constructed externally on the sides of *O*. Denote the sixteen sides of the eight polygons that have the common vertex A_j with the octagon, $j = 1, \dots, 8$, by $A_1B_{92}, A_1B_{11}, A_2B_{12}, A_2B_{21}, \dots, A_9B_{72}$ and A_9B_{91} , as illustrated in figure 1. Denote the midpoints of these sides by $C_{11}, C_{12}, C_{21}, C_{22}, \dots, C_{91}$ and C_{92} , respectively. The figure shows only three of the regular polygons so that the image is not overloaded.



Figure 1 - Regular polygons of n sides on sides of a random octagon

Also consider

Journal of Mathematical Sciences & Mathematics Education Vol. 14 No. 1 13

 $D_j = \frac{C_{j1} + C_{j2}}{2}, j = 1, \dots, 8 \mod 8$ the midpoints of segments $C_{j1}C_{j2}$ and $E_j = \frac{D_j + D_{j+1}}{2}$ the midpoints of $D_j D_{j+1}$.

Under these conditions, the midpoints of $E_j E_{j+4}$, $j = 1, ..., 8 \mod 8$, denoted by I, J, K and L, respectively, form a parallelogram, as shown in figure 2.



Figure 2 - The parallelogram IJKL

Proof: Let us demonstrate it by denoting the points I, J, K and L as a function of the coordinates of the vertices of the octagon. Considering $A_j = (x_j, y_j)$ each vertex of the octagon in the Cartesian Plane, j = 1, ..., 8, denote the complex number corresponding to each A_j by $a_j = x_j + iy_j$, where $i^2 = -1$. Similarly, denote the complex numbers corresponding to the points B_j, C_j, D_j and E_j by b_j, c_j, d_j and e_j , respectively. Take M_j as the midpoint of the segment $A_j A_{j+1}$, so that $m_j = \frac{a_j + a_{j+1}}{2}$ is the complex number corresponding to M_j .

Considering $\hat{A} = B_{11}\hat{A}_1A_2$ the internal angle of each of the eight regular polygons, then, through the hypotheses, we can conclude that $c_{12} - a_1$ is equal to the complex number resulting from the rotation of $m_1 - a_1$ by \hat{A} degrees counterclockwise. Namely,

 $c_{12} - a_1 = (m_1 - a_1)(\cos \hat{A} + i \sin \hat{A}).$ From now on let us denote $\operatorname{cis}(\hat{A}) = \cos \hat{A} + i \sin \hat{A}$. Then, $c_{12} = a_1 + (m_1 - a_1)\operatorname{cis}(\hat{A}).$

The complex number $c_{11} - a_1$ is equal to the complex number resulting from the rotation of $m_B - a_1$ by \hat{A} degrees clockwise, or $360^\circ - \hat{A}$ counterclockwise, that is,

$$\begin{array}{l} c_{11} - a_1 &= (m_8 - a_1)\operatorname{cis}(360^\circ - \hat{A}) \Rightarrow \\ c_{11} - a_1 &= (m_8 - a_1)[\cos(360^\circ - \hat{A}) + i\sin(360^\circ - \hat{A})] = \\ (m_8 - a_1)[\cos(-\hat{A}) + i\sin(-\hat{A})] = \\ (m_8 - a_1)\operatorname{cis}(-\hat{A}) + i\sin(-\hat{A})] = \\ (m_8 - a_1)\operatorname{cis}(-\hat{A}) & & \\ \Rightarrow \\ \hline m_8 - a_1)\operatorname{cis}(-\hat{A}) & & \\ \Rightarrow \\ \hline m_8 - a_1)\operatorname{cis}(-\hat{A}) & & \\ \Rightarrow \\ \hline m_8 - a_1)\operatorname{cis}(-\hat{A}) & & \\ \Rightarrow \\ \hline m_8 - a_1)\operatorname{cis}(-\hat{A}) & & \\ \Rightarrow \\ \hline m_8 - a_1)\operatorname{cis}(-\hat{A}) + a_1 + (m_1 - a_1)\operatorname{cis}(\hat{A})] = \\ \hline m_8 - a_1)\operatorname{cis}(-\hat{A}) + a_1 + (m_1 - a_1)\operatorname{cis}(\hat{A})] = \\ \hline m_8 - a_1} + \left(\frac{a_9 + a_1}{2} - a_1\right)\operatorname{cis}(-\hat{A}) + a_1 + \left(\frac{a_1 + a_2}{2} - a_1\right)\operatorname{cis}(\hat{A})] = \\ \hline \frac{1}{2} \left[2a_1 + \frac{a_8 - a_1}{2}\operatorname{cis}(-\hat{A}) + \frac{a_2 - a_1}{2}\operatorname{cis}(\hat{A}) \right]. \\ \text{Similarly, we can find} \\ d_2 = \frac{c_{21} + c_{22}}{2} = \\ \hline \frac{1}{2} \left[2a_2 + \frac{a_1 - a_2}{2}\operatorname{cis}(-\hat{A}) + \frac{a_2 - a_2}{2}\operatorname{cis}(\hat{A}) \right]. \\ \text{Then,} \\ e_1 = \frac{d_1 + d_2}{2} = \frac{1}{4} \times \\ \left[2a_1 + \frac{a_8 - a_1}{2}\operatorname{cis}(-\hat{A}) + \frac{a_2 - a_1}{2}\operatorname{cis}(\hat{A}) + 2a_2 + \frac{a_1 - a_2}{2}\operatorname{cis}(-\hat{A}) + \frac{a_3 - a_2}{2}\operatorname{cis}(\hat{A}) \right]. \\ \text{Then,} \\ e_1 = \frac{d_1 + d_2}{2} = \frac{1}{4} \times \\ \left[2(a_1 + a_2) + \frac{a_8 - a_2}{2}\operatorname{cis}(-\hat{A}) + \frac{a_3 - a_1}{2}\operatorname{cis}(\hat{A}) \right]. \\ \text{Similarly, we obtain} \\ e_5 = \frac{1}{4} \times \left[2(a_5 + a_6) + \frac{a_4 - a_6}{2}\operatorname{cis}(-\hat{A}) + \frac{a_7 - a_5}{2}\operatorname{cis}(\hat{A}) \right]. \\ \text{Thus,} \\ I = \frac{e_1 + e_5}{2} = \frac{1}{8} \times \end{array}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2(a_{1} + a_{2} + a_{3} + a_{4}) + \frac{a_{9} + a_{4} - a_{2} - a_{5}}{2} \operatorname{cis}(A) \\ + \frac{a_{3} + a_{7} - a_{1} - a_{3}}{2} \operatorname{cis}(A) \end{bmatrix}.$$
Similarly, we can obtain:

$$J = \frac{e_{2} + e_{6}}{2} = \frac{1}{8} \times \begin{bmatrix} 2(a_{2} + a_{3} + a_{6} + a_{7}) + \frac{a_{1} + a_{5} - a_{2} - a_{7}}{2} \operatorname{cis}(-A) \\ (2(a_{2} + a_{3} + a_{6} + a_{7}) + \frac{a_{4} + a_{8} - a_{2} - a_{6}}{2} \operatorname{cis}(A) \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$K = \frac{e_{2} + e_{7}}{2} = \frac{1}{8} \times \begin{bmatrix} 2(a_{3} + a_{4} + a_{7} + a_{8}) + \frac{a_{2} + a_{6} + a_{4} - a_{2}}{2} \operatorname{cis}(-A) \\ + \frac{a_{5} + a_{7} + a_{8}}{2} \operatorname{cis}(A) \end{bmatrix}$$
and

$$L = \frac{e_{4} + e_{9}}{2} = \frac{1}{8} \times \begin{bmatrix} 2(a_{4} + a_{5} + a_{6} + a_{4} - a_{2} - a_{6} - a_{7} + a_{8}) + \frac{a_{5} + a_{7} - a_{5} - a_{1}}{2} \operatorname{cis}(-A) \\ + \frac{a_{6} + a_{2} - a_{4} - a_{8}}{2} \operatorname{cis}(A) \end{bmatrix}.$$
Anyway, let us calculate $|J - K| = \frac{1}{8} \times \begin{bmatrix} 2(a_{4} + a_{5} + a_{6} - a_{4} - a_{2} + a_{4} + a_{5} - a_{6} - a_{7} + a_{9} - a_{1} - a_{2} + a_{2} + a_{4} - a_{5} - a_{6} + a_{7} + a_{9} - a_{1} - a_{2} + a_{2} + a_{4} - a_{5} - a_{6} - a_{7} + a_{9} - a_{1} - a_{2} + a_{2} + a_{4} - a_{5} - a_{6} - a_{7} + a_{9} - a_{1} - a_{2} + a_{2} + a_{4} - a_{5} - a_{6} - a_{7} + a_{9} - a_{1} - a_{2} + a_{2} + a_{4} - a_{5} - a_{6} - a_{7} + a_{9} - a_{1} - a_{2} + a_{2} + a_{4} - a_{5} - a_{6} - a_{7} + a_{9} - a_{1} - a_{2} + a_{2} + a_{4} - a_{5} - a_{6} - a_{7} + a_{9} - a_{1} - a_{2} + a_{2} + a_{4} - a_{5} - a_{6} - a_{7} + a_{9} - a_{1} - a_{2} + a_{2} + a_{4} - a_{5} - a_{6} - a_{7} + a_{9} - a_{1} - a_{2} + a_{2} + a_{4} - a_{5} - a_{6} - a_{7} + a_{9} - a_{1} - a_{2} + a_{4} + a_{5} - a_{6} - a_{7} + a_{9} - a_{1} - a_{2} + a_{4} + a_{5} - a_{6} - a_{7} + a_{9} - a_{1} - a_{2} - a_{6} - a_{7} - a_{9} - a_{6} - a_{7} + a_{9} - a_{1} - a_{2} - a_{6} - a_{7} - a_{9} - a_{7} - a_{7} - a_{8} - a_{7} - a_{7} - a_{$

$$|I - L| = \frac{1}{8} \times$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2(a_2 + a_6 - a_4 - a_8) + \frac{a_1 - a_2 - a_3 + a_4 + a_5 - a_6 - a_7 + a_8}{2} \operatorname{cis}(-\hat{A}) \\ + \frac{-a_1 - a_2 + a_3 + a_4 - a_5^2 - a_6 + a_7 + a_8}{2} \operatorname{cis}(\hat{A}) \end{vmatrix}$$

= $|I - K|$.

In an analogous way, it is possible to prove that |K - L| = |J - I|, which concludes the demonstration.

† Rogério César dos Santos, Ph.D., University of Brasília - Brazil Journal Of

References

Krishna, Dasari Naga Vijay. A New Equilateral Triangle associated with Hexagon. 2018*a*.

Krishna, Dasari Naga Vijay . A note on special cases of Van Aubel's theorem. International Jounal of Advances in Applied Mathematics and Mechanics 5(4). 2018b.

Education